

**Commodity Area: Motor Transport**  
**Functional Area: Maintenance Production**  
**Analyzed By: (b)(6)**

**Total Discrepancies: Zero**

1. Are authorized personnel assigning proper Urgency of Need Designators (UND) on Service Requests? (MCO 4400.201, Volume 3, Paragraph 010702; MCO 4400.16H, Paragraph 4.b(9); MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 3, Paragraph 2.a(1)(d); UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraph 3.h).  
LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

40 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

2. Are acceptance inspections properly conducted and documented when equipment is turned in to the supporting maintenance activity? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 3, Paragraph 2.a.(1) and Appendix C, Paragraph 3.c; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraphs 1.b(1) and 5.s(1)(a)).  
LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

40 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

3. Has the DRIS been established during the induction phase? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Appendix C, Paragraph 4(a)(1)). LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

40 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

4. Do the commodity personnel use a Service Request (SR) in all instances where required in the performance of maintenance? (MCO 4790.2, Appendix B, Paragraph 5.c and Appendix C, Paragraph 2.a; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraph 1.b). LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

40 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

5. Is the operational status of "Deadlined" for Readiness Reportable Ground Equipment, including SL-3, accurately reported? (Pertains to both Using Unit/Organic and IMA accounts) (MCBul 3000; MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 1, Paragraphs 2.c(3) and 5; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraph 3 (Page 513)). LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

47 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

6. Has a Task been created for each major defect? (MCO 4790.2 Enclosure 1, Appendix C, Paragraph 3(f); UM 4000-125 Chapter 3, Paragraph 5(s)(2)(a)).  
LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

40 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

7. Did the commodity submit a PQDR when required? (MCO 4855.10C; MCO 4105.2; MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 10 and Chapter 3, Paragraph 6; Applicable Supply Instructions and Fielding Plans).  
LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

2 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

8. Is the commodity following established warranty procedures? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 10; MCO 4105.2; MCO 4855.10C; Applicable Supply Instructions and Fielding Plans; MMSOP). LOE: Maintenance Production

N/A - Commodity does not possess any equipment under warranty

9. Are parts requirements associated to the appropriate task? (MCO 4400.16H, Enclosure (1), Paragraphs 5 and 7; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraphs 4.c and 4.g and Chapter 9, Paragraph 3.a).  
LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

343 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

10. Is the priority commensurate with the associated Maintenance Task? (MCO 4400.16H, Enclosure (1), Paragraphs 5 and 7; CMC Message 271253Z FEB 18). LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

343 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

11. Does the maintenance activity assign the appropriate Job Status Codes to reflect the transition through the maintenance production process? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Appendix C, Paragraph 3.e(2); UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraphs 3.g and 4.b and Appendix E).  
LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

40 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

12. Is the unit following procedures for extension of Maximum Maintenance Cycle Time (MMCT)? (MCO 4400.201, Vol 6, Paragraph 030303; CMC Message 191845Z JUN 18). LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

12 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

13. Is the commodity debriefing parts prior to the closure of the Service Request? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Appendix C, Paragraph 6; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraphs 5 and 7.c). LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

21 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

14. Is the maintenance activity properly debriefing labor prior to the closure of the service request? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Appendix C, Paragraph 6.a(2); UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraphs 5.a, 7.c, and 10.c). LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

Yes

53 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

15. Upon completion of maintenance actions, are assigned quality control personnel documenting final inspections? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 3, Paragraph 2.c(4); UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraph 3.s(3); Appropriate Commodity Chapters of TM 4700-15/1H). LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

20 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

**Commodity Area: Motor Transport**  
**Functional Area: Preventive Maintenance Checks and Services**  
**Analyzed By: (b)(6)**

**Total Discrepancies: Three**

1. Have equipment counters been updated? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 3, Paragraph 4.b; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraph 6).  
LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

No

RO's across the regiment failed to update the counters on all ME sampled, due to lack of knowledge from the ROs and failure by the Motor T Chief to validate the counters report monthly. This was due to counters not being a priority for Motor Transport.

50 of 50 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

50 record(s): Equipment owner did not update counters.

Y14004\_CDET COMM DET  
(1) D0022, SN: 621634

(1) D0033, SN: 629566

(3) D0198, SNs: 529920, 595439, 595897

Y14004 COMM CO  
(1) D0033, SN: 629278

(1) D0034, SN: 623397

(2) D0198, SN: 595444, 595908

Y14301\_S-3 HQTRS BTRY

(2) D0022, SNs: 621095, 623037

Y14301\_S-6 HQTRS BTRY  
(1) D0022, SN: 620102

(3) D1158, SNs: 307789, 600835, 611261

Y14301\_SUR HQTRS BTRY  
(4) D0022, SNs: 620127, 621092, 621094, 623035

Y14301\_TAP HQTRS BTRY  
(2) D0030, SNs: 628321, 630467

(2) D0030, SNs: 629815, 642085

Y14301\_MT HQTRS BTRY

(4) D0033, SNs: 591312, 593142, 597203, 597270

(2) D0022, SNs: 620167, 622808

(4) D0033, SNs: 629509, 629670, 629739, 629987

(10) D0198, SNs: 595869, 595963, 596107, 596108, 596161, 596164, 596245,  
596246, 596258, 596364

(2) D0887, SN: 667934, 667938

(1) D1002, SN: 605802

(4) D1158, SNs: 589819, 608333, 608335, 608358

2. Has scheduled PMCS been performed? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 3, Paragraph 4; TM 4700-15/1H, Paragraphs 2-2.d.38.c; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 4, Paragraphs 1.a, 1.b, and 1.e; Applicable Technical Publications).  
LOE: Maintenance Production

No

The Previous MT Chief at Y14004\_CDET did not record any actions taken by the LMMT Team. He EAS'd late January 2019. The new MT Chief opened PMCS SR, they were in a SHT FUNDS status during the analysis. The MT Chief at Y14004 failed to update the records after the LMMT performed PMCS. There was a lack of oversight from the previous Regimental MT Chief.

9 of 47 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

9 record(s): PMCS were not properly conducted and documented.

Y14004\_CDET COMM DET

(1) D0022, SN: 621634

(1) D0033, SN: 629566

(3) D0198, SNs: 592290, 595439, 595897

Y14004 COMM CO

(1) D0033, SN: 620278

(1) D0034, SN: 629937

(2) D0198, SNs: 595444, 595908

3. Has required PMCS been scheduled? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 3, Paragraph 4.a(2)(b); TM 4700-15/1H, Paragraphs 2-4.b thru 2-4.c; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 4, Paragraph 1; Applicable Technical Publications).  
LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

47 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

4. Has operator/crew PMCS been performed? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 3, Paragraph 4; Applicable Technical Publications; MMSOP/MMPL).  
LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

47 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

5. Was an SR initiated for defects identified during operator/crew PMCS? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 3, Paragraphs 4.a(2)(b) and 5; Applicable Technical Publications; MMSOP/MMPL).  
LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

N/A - No CM requirements were identified during operator/crew PM requiring CM.

6. Prior to closure of PMCS SR, were equipment records updated? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure 1, Appendix C, Paragraph 6.d).  
LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

No

The previous Motor Transport Maintenance Chief for Y14004\_CDET and Y14004 failed to update the records in GCSS-MC. The previous Regimental Motor Transport Chief failed to provide oversight and training to the Marines at the outlying sites. There was also no reconciliation of the PMCS report by the Regimental staff in the past, leading to the discrepancies not being identified.

9 of 47 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

9 record(s): Appropriate instruction/training was not provided by supervisory personnel.

Y14004\_CDET COMM DET  
(1) D0022, SN: 621634

(1) D0033, SN: 629566

(3) D0198, SNs: 592290, 595439, 595897

Y14004 COMM CO

(1) D0033, SN: 620278

(1) D0034, SN: 629937

(2) D0198, SNs: 595444, 595908

**Commodity Area: Motor Transport**  
**Functional Area: Parts Requirement**  
**Analyzed By: (b)(6)**

**Total Discrepancies: Two**

1. Are validations/reconciliations conducted between the commodities (supply, MMO, maintenance activity, and supporting activities), and are corrective actions initiated by the commodity/IMA for requisitions? (MCO 4790.2, Chapter 1, Paragraph 4.b; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraph 5.s(5)(b), page 523; MMSOP/MMPL). LOE: Procurement

Yes

4 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

2. Does authorized DSI resident in GCSS-MC match physical on-hand quantities and location? (MCO 4400.201, Volume 3, Paragraphs 021302 and 021303; MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 1, Paragraph 4.d and Appendix B, Paragraph 4.b(2)(b)4.c; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 12, Paragraph 2.b and Chapter 13, Paragraph 2.a). LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

N/A - This question did not apply to the commodity section. The commodity had no DSI.

3. Are the commodity's stage sub-inventory and layette sub-inventory accurate? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 1, Paragraph 4.b.1(b)1; MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Appendix A, Paragraph 3.q(5) and Appendix B, Paragraph 4.b(2)(b)4.e; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 12, Paragraph 2.b). LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

No

These items were not identified due to the overwhelming number of items in the one locator that was created for Motor Transport, as well as the Motor Transport Maintenance Chief being the only Marine to debrief all of the parts. This led to an SR being closed without all the parts applied or debriefed.

1 of 1 records reviewed during the analysis was discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record.

1 record(s): Applied parts were not debriefed from the layette.

1 record(s): Sub-inventory on hand quantity did not match Layettes on hand quantity.

The following parts were not on hand in the locator and still on the on hand quantity report.

Locator M120101AA

NIIN	Nomenclature	Quantity
004050210	STAKE,VEHICLE BODY	7
004050210	STAKE,VEHICLE BODY	7
007642970	SCREW,CAP, SOCKET HE	4
008094085	WASHER, FLAT	1
008807744	NUT, PLAIN, HEXAGON	2
009663831	LAMP, INCANDESCENT	2
009881725	SCREW, MACHINE	1
010086088	COVER, ACCESS	1
011505944	GASKET	2
011557555	HORN, SIGNAL	1
011739304	BOW, VEHICULAR TOP	2
011892195	TIE ROD END, STEERIN	2
011896748	CLIP, SPRING TENSION	1
011931970	HEADLIGHT	2
011996759	BOW, VEHICULAR TOP	1
012001994	HANDLE, DOOR, VEHICUL	1
012036542	BUCKLE	5
012107427	NUT, SELF-LOCKING, HE	2
012565350	TANK, RADIATOR, OVERF	1
012789555	WASHER, FLAT	1
012866077	WASHER, FLAT	1
013157066	SCREW, CAP, HEXAGON	8
013342887	BRACKET, MOUNTING	2
013843922	COVER, BATTERY BOX	1
013857083	WASHER, FLAT	3
013890399	PARTS KIT, WINDSHIEL	1
013996975	SCREW, MACHINE	4
014124447	SEAL, PLAIN ENCASED	4
014171051	PIN, COTTER	99
014312499	COCK, DRAIN	1
014348611	GASKET	1
014358208	BOW, VEHICULAR TOP	1
014505479	DOOR, VEHICULAR	1
014573913	REMOVER, BEARING	1
014630200	INDICATOR, SHIFT	1
014651564	BOLT, U	2
014706013	BOLT, MACHINE	294
014729622	SHOCK ABSORBER, FRON	1
014794578	GAGE ROD, LIQUID LEV	1
014799067	CUSHION, SEAT, VEHICU	1
014809039	STACK, EXHAUST	1
014816120	HOUSING ASSEMBLY, CO	1
014826105	STOP LIGHT, VEHICULA	1
014826107	LIGHT, PARKING	3
014854382	BOLT, TEE HEAD	2
014856200	PLATE, RETAINING, SEA	1
014885606	BELT, V	1
014911410	PARTS KIT, SEAT BELT	1
014911432	PIN, LOCK	2
014955214	CAP ASSEMBLY, PROTEC	1
015009577	HANDLE, DIPSTICK	2

015048614	SEAL, PLAIN	1
015342370	GAGE, PRESSURE, DIAL	1
015390790	DRIVER CAP	1
015399375	HOSE, NONMETALLIC	3
015419228	BELT, VEHICULAR SAFE	1
015463599	ROD, THREADED END	1
015481848	NUT, SELF-LOCKING, HE	1
015542331	KNOB	4
015542334	KNOB	4
015548341	SEAL, NONMETALLIC SP	4
015650099	GASKET	1
015994613	EXTENSION, SOCKET WR	2
016015159	FILE, HAND	4
016015163	WRENCH, BOX	4
016015175	EXTENSION, SOCKET WR	5
016015178	SCREWDRIVER ATTACHM	9
016067956	INSTALLATION KIT, WH	1
016170612	SEAT, VEHICULAR	1
016289570	REGULATOR, ENGINE GE	1

4. Is all Materiel associated with established DSI, Layette, or Broken Unit of Issue (BUI) sub-inventories or reported to supply for disposition guidance? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Appendix A, Paragraph 3.q(3); MCO 4400.201, Volume 3, Paragraph 0212; UM 4000-125. Part 4, Chapter 12, Paragraphs 2.b and 3; MMSOP and MMPL).

LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

No

The Maintenance Chief failed to identify items in the layettes locator that were not populated on the On Hand Inventory report. This led to the items not being identified to the Supply section for rollback. These items were not identified due to the overwhelming number of items in the one locator that was created for Motor Transport, as well as the Motor Transport Maintenance Chief being the only Marine to debrief all of the parts. This led to an SR being closed without all the parts applied or debriefed.

1 of 1 records reviewed during the analysis was discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record.

1 record(s): Coordination with supply for disposal or rollback action was not conducted.

Y14301\_MT HQTRS BTRY

(24) Excess repair parts were on hand without coordination with supply for rollback.

**Commodity Area: Motor Transport**  
**Functional Area: Calibration Control**  
**Analyzed By: (b)(6)**

**Total Discrepancies: Zero**

1. Is all equipment requiring calibrations included in the Calibrations and Maintenance Program (CAMP)? (MCO 4733.1C, Paragraph 6.a; MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 5.d; TI 4733-15-1A, Paragraph 5; TI 4733 15/11C; TI 4733-15/21A; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 6, Paragraph 1; MMSOP/MMPL). LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

Yes

39 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

2. Does the information annotated on the calibration sticker or certificate for each calibrated item match the data on the calibration control form? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 5.b; TI 4733-15/1A; TI 4733-OD/10; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 6 and Appendix E; MMSOP/MMPL). LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

Yes

39 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

3. Has Test Measurement and Diagnostics Equipment (TMDE) requiring calibration been submitted to the calibration facility? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 5; TI 4733-OD/1; TI 4733-OD/10; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraph 1.b and Chapter 6; MMSOP/MMPL). LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

Yes

39 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

4. Is TMDE designated as Calibration Not Required (CNR) or Inactive used within its calibration status? (MCO 4733.1C; TM 4700-15/1H, Paragraph 2-7.d; UM 4000-125, Appendix E (Calibration Status Codes)). LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

N/A - Commodity has no CNR or Inactive TMDE

**Commodity Area: Motor Transport**  
**Functional Area: Modifications Control**  
**Analyzed By: (b)(6)**

**Total Discrepancies: Zero**

1. Are only authorized modifications applied to Marine Corps equipment? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 4.c).  
LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

47 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

2. Does all equipment requiring urgent modifications indicate that modifications have been applied? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 4.d(1); Applicable Modification Instructions).  
LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

13 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

3. Does all equipment requiring normal modifications indicate that modifications have been applied? (MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 4; Applicable Modification Instructions).  
LOE: Maintenance Production

Yes

71 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

4. When the application of a modification changes data elements of military equipment (i.e., TAMCN, ID or NIIN), are requests for applicable changes submitted by the RO and the property records adjusted? (MCO 4400.201, Volume 3, Chapter 2, Paragraph 020603; MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 4; Applicable Supply Instruction Modification Instruction and Automated Message Handling System). LOE: Property Accountability

N/A - No modification changes data elements of military equipment (i.e., TAMCN, ID or NIIN).

5. Have all modifications been recorded? (CMC Message 091558Z JAN 14 [Policy Procedures and Management Requirements for Centralized Modification Control Records Program]; MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 4; TM 4700-15/1H, Paragraph 2-5; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraph 1.b; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 5). LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

84 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

**Commodity Area: Motor Transport**  
**Functional Area: Inventory Control**  
**Analyzed By: (b)(6)**

**Total Discrepancies: Two**

1. Are inventory records for Sets, Kits, Outfits, and Tools (SKOT) and Military Equipment established? (MCO 4400.201, Volume 3, Paragraphs 021402 and 021805; MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 11; TM 10209-10/1; TM 4700-15/1H, Paragraphs 2-6 and 15-7; MMSOP/MMPL).  
LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

No

The Motor Transport Chief failed to utilize the correct SL-3 extracts due to lack of knowledge on how to get them off of the IETM.

4 of 54 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

4 record(s): Incorrect or Outdated publications were used to create the inventory record.

Y14301\_MT HQTRS BTRY

(3) D0033, SNs:642084, 629998, and 629998 SL-3 extract was from TM 9-2320-387-10 rather than TM 11240-OI/1 (TM 2320-CD) DATED 2015.

(1) D0022, SN: 621101 SL-3 extract was from TM 9-2320-387-10 rather than TM 11240-OI/1 (TM 2320-CD) DATED 2015.

2. Has the unit commander established allowances in writing, for Using Unit Responsibility Items (UURI) and Additional Authorization List (AAL) items? (MCO 4400.201, Volume 3, Paragraph 021402).  
LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

Yes

13 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

3. Are inventories conducted and documented for all SKOTS, SL-3, and TM components to end items? (CMC Message 091714Z JAN 19; MCO 4400.201, Volume 3, Chapter 2, Paragraph 0214; MCO 4790.2, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraph 11; MMSOP/MMPL). LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

Yes

54 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

4. Are required replacement items requisitioned or identified as an unfunded deficiency? (MCO 4400.201, Volume 3, Paragraph 0214; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 3, Paragraph 1.b). LOE: Maintenance Program and Resource Management

No

The Motor Transport Chief failed to utilize the correct SL-3 extract. This led to the hydraulic jacks not being identified and placed on order. The MT Chief did not have the resident knowledge on how to retrieve extracts from the IETM.

4 of 309 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

4 record(s): Identified deficiencies were not requisitioned.

Y14301\_MT HQTRS BTRY

(4) NIIN 015733382 quantity of 1 EA were missing and not on order for the following TAMCN/SN.

(3) D0033, SNs: 642084, 629998, and 629998

(1) D0022, SN: 621101

**Commodity Area: Motor Transport**  
**Functional Area: Tactical Ground Load Lifting Equipment**  
**Analyzed By: (b)(6)**

**Total Discrepancies: Zero**

1. Has the unit Commander assigned in writing a Certifying Official for certification of tactical ground load lifting equipment? (MCO 11262.2B W/ADMIN CH, Enclosure (1), Chapter 1, Paragraph 2.j).  
LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

1 record reviewed during the analysis was compliant with current directives.

2. Has the load test Certifying Official designated, in writing, authorized test directors and inspection/test personnel? (MCO 11262.2B W/ADMIN CH, Enclosure (1), Chapter 1, Paragraph 2.j).  
LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

2 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

3. Do equipment records indicate a load test has been performed and documented on tactical ground load lifting equipment? (MCO 11262.2B W/ADMIN CH, Enclosure (1), Chapter 1, Paragraphs 2.d and 2.e; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 2, Paragraph 2). LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

3 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

4. Is the hook throat spread base measurement properly conducted and recorded? (MCO 11262.2B W/ADMIN CH, Enclosure (1), Chapter 3, Paragraph 4; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 2, Paragraph 2).  
LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

3 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

5. Do equipment records indicate a "passing" Non-Destructive Test (NDT) of tactical ground load lifting equipment has been completed in the last five years? (MCO 11262.2B W/ADMIN CH, Enclosure (1), Chapter 4, Paragraphs 2.b(1) and 2.c; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 2, Paragraph 2).  
LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

3 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

6. Has an Annual Condition Inspection (ACI) been performed and documented for tactical ground load lifting equipment within the past 12 months? (MCO 11262.2B W/ADMIN CH, Enclosure (1), Chapter 3, Paragraph 8; UM 4000-125, Part 4, Chapter 2, Paragraph 2). LOE: Maintenance Information and Reporting

Yes

3 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.